

Systematic Review

Dear members of WG1,

Regarding the systematic review I can inform you as follows. As per 30 April 2015 we received the following documents:

1. CEN-TC250-SC3-WG1_N0024_CENTC250_N1174_Systematic_review
2. CEN-TC250-SC3-WG1_N0028_CENTC250_N1228_German_systematic_rev
3. CEN-TC250-SC3-WG1_N0029_CENTC250_N1229_Luxembourg_systematic
4. CEN-TC250-SC3-WG1_N0034_CENTC250_N1242_Belgium_comments_on_E

A sub-group of WG1 spent two full days (9 March 2015 and 30 April 2015) on classifying the comments received in the systematic review. The sub-group consists of Ulrike Kuhlmann, Ralf Egner, Alain Bureau and Bert Snijder. The classification of the comments is as follows:

Systematic review classification rubrics:

(1) Accepted (PT):

Editorial and obvious mistakes that can be directly dealt by PT

(2) Accepted (WG):

Relevant comment that need to be dealt and further elaborated by WG, and probably accepted by SC3 decision

(3) Rejected:

In this case a reason should be given, e.g. demand is against policy of "clarity" and "ease of use"

(4) Clarification (WG):

Comment that cannot easily be answered and needs further considerations and discussions in WG

(5) Decided (SC3):

Comment and issue that is already treated by SC3 and decided as "basket"-decision, no discussion again

(6) Under discussion (WG):

Comment and issue that is already under discussion within WG and may have reached already a certain status of agreement which should not be rolled up again.

All comments in all documents have been classified. The result of that is shown in the associated documents:

1. systematic review - EN1993-1-1 - N1174 comments from different countries - classification
2. systematic review - EN1993-1-1 - N1228 additional German comments - classification
3. systematic review - EN1993-1-1 - N1229 Luxembourg comments - classification

4. systematic review - EN1993-1-1 - N1242 Belgium comments - classification

In these documents, in the final column under 'Observations of the secretariat' you will find the classification result together with a short comment where appropriate.

The time schedule is now as follows:

- your response to the proposals of the sub-group – if any – are due on 19 June 2015 ultimately;
- the sub-group will work on your response till 15 July 2015;
- the classification will be submitted to CEN/TC250/SC3 on 16 July 2015;
- the classification will be discussed in the meeting of CEN/TC250/SC3 on 8/9 October 2015 in Berlin.

Bert Snijder, convenor WG1

1 May 2015